BATHOLITHIC AND EARLY HALO TYPE Cu-Mo DEPOSITS: Cheney & Trammell (1975) revisited

K. Brock Riedell

Consulting Geologist 4732 Willow Creek Road West Vancouver, BC V7W 1C4 Canada kbriedell@shaw.ca



John M. Proffett

Consulting Geologist P.O. Box 772066 Eagle River, AK 99577





OUTLINE

- Review: Porphyry thinking in the late 1960s 1970s
- The batholithic model of Cheney and Trammell (1975) – key elements and type example deposits
- Ahead of their time
- Early halo type vs. 'A' vein type porphyry deposits (Proffett, 2009)
- Examples of batholithic and early halo type deposits

PORPHYRY EXPLORATION PARADIGMS OF THE LATE 1960s – 1970s

- Published models two-dimensional, emphasizing lateral alteration / sulfide zoning – focus on symmetry (Lowell and Guilbert, 1970; Sutherland Brown, 1976; Nielsen, 1976)
- Early/mid 1970s developments:
 - "Tops and bottoms" of systems (Sillitoe, 1973)
 - Host rock effects (Guilbert & Lowell, 1974)
 - Different magmatic compositions and metal types (Kesler et al, 1975; Hollister, 1975)
- Little appreciation for the time dimension outside of Anaconda's Butte, El Salvador and Yerington geologic teams



simplified from Lowell & Gulbert, 1970, Fig. 3

PRESENTATIONS AT SEG ANNUAL MEETINGS

INSIDE-OUT HYDROTHERMAL ALTERATION IN A PORPHYROID Cu/Mo DEPOSIT

ERIC S. CHENEY AND J. W. TRAMMELL

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

AND

P. RASRIKRIENGKRAI Taksin Road, Thomburi, Thailand

AND

D. A. HOWARD

Canex Aerial Exploration, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada Minneapolis, Nov. 1972

BATHOLITHIC ORE DEPOSITS

ERIC S. CHENEY

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

AND JOHN W. TRAMMELL

Department of Geology, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

Salt Lake City, Oct. 1975

TERMINOLOGY



modified from Sutherland Brown, 1976, Fig. 1

Lowell & Guilbert, 1970, Fig. 3

TERMINOLOGY



THE BATHOLITHIC Cu-Mo DEPOSIT MODEL OF CHENEY & TRAMMELL (1975)

vs. Classic porphyry deposits:

- Occur within batholiths
- Minimal or nil porphyritic rocks
- Multiple hypogene mineralizing events restricted to veins and fractures
- Biotitic alteration envelopes along veins/fractures
- Unaltered rocks outside biotitic alteration (no peripheral propylitic zones)
- Structurally controlled quartz-sericite-pyrite and chloritic alteration superimposed inside (and extending above) biotitic alteration
- Mostly low sulfide content weak supergene enrichment

BATHOLITHIC vs. CLASSIC PORPHYRY DEPOSITS: Alteration



BATHOLITHIC

CLASSIC PORPHYRY

modified from Cheney & Trammell, 1975, 1996

BATHOLITHIC vs. CLASSIC PORPHYRY DEPOSITS: Sulfides



CLASSIC PORPHYRY

BATHOLITHIC

modified from Cheney & Trammell, 1975, 1996

TYPE EXAMPLE BATHOLITHIC Cu-Mo DEPOSITS



AHEAD OF THEIR TIME

Cheney & Trammell (1975) anticipated and/or formalized several important advances in porphyry geology:

- Ore zones within plutons (Sutherland Brown, 1976; Sillitoe, 2010)
- Late qz-ser-py (Gustafson & Hunt, 1971, 1975; Carson & Jambor, 1977)
- Inside-out alteration, with QSP internal to bio alteration; the "northern Chilean porphyry model" (Sillitoe & Perello, 2005; Seedorff et al, 2005; Sillitoe, 2010)

'A' VEIN TYPE and EARLY-HALO TYPE PORPHYRY DEPOSITS

from <u>Geology</u>, August 2009, v. 37, p.675-678:

High Cu grades in porphyry Cu deposits and their relationship to emplacement depth of magmatic sources

John M. Proffett P.O. Box 772066, Eagle River, Alaska 99577, USA

'A' VEIN TYPE vs. EARLY HALO TYPE PORPHYRY DEPOSITS

'A' VEIN TYPE DEPOSITS:

- Most Cu in 'A'-type granular qz-sulf veins, with Kspar and/or bio halos
- Bornite-rich with common magnetite (where not overprinted)
- Typically 5-50% qz veins
- Ore zones closely related to porphyries

EARLY HALO TYPE DEPOSITS:

- Most Cu in texture-destructive halos of mus ± bio ± Kspar with abundant chalcopyrite and/or bornite
- Magnetite absent from halos
- Typically <10% qz veins
- Domal ore zones indirectly related to small-volume porphyry dikes
- Magm-hydrothermal breccias common



cm

porphyry contact



YERINGTON DISTRICT, NEVADA Jurassic cross-section



Proffett, 2009, Fig. 1

YERINGTON DISTRICT, NEVADA Jurassic cross-section



YERINGTON DISTRICT, NEVADA Jurassic cross-section



BUTTE, MONTANA First recognized early-halo type system



BUTTE, MONTANA First recognized early-halo type system



BUTTE, MONTANA Pre-Main Stage geology: S-N section



BUTTE, MONTANA Pre-Main Stage geology: S-N section



COPPER CREEK, ARIZONA Muscovite-dominant early halos



10 mm

COPPER CREEK, ARIZONA Muscovite-dominant early halos



MUSCOVITE-RICH EARLY HALOS vs. 'D' VEINS

EARLY HALOS	'D' VEINS
Overlap 'A' veins in time	Postdate 'A' and 'B' veins
Abundant Cu sulfides	Abundant pyrite
Plagioclase sites obliterated	Plagioclase sites preserved
Bio and/or K-spar typically present	Bio + K-spar replaced
Muscovite gray to gray-green, typically >100 µm, with radiating "bowtie" clusters	Muscovite white to pale gray, typically <100 μm
Sericite-island textures	No sericite-island textures

MUSCOVITE-RICH EARLY HALOS vs. 'D' VEINS



Sericite-island textures

No sericite-island textures

QUARTZ CREEK, WASHINGTON



Cheney & Trammell, 1996, Fig. 5

QUARTZ CREEK, WASHINGTON



Cheney & Trammell, 1996, Fig. 5

QUARTZ CREEK, WASHINGTON 'Inside-out' alteration



Cheney & Trammell, 1996, Fig. 6

QUARTZ CREEK, WASHINGTON 'Inside-out' alteration



QUARTZ CREEK, WASHINGTON Early potassic (EDM?) halos

Preacher monzongranite; background bio alt'n

Early halo with bio-ser replacing hb; cp + py





mgt-qtz centerlines

cm

BRENDA, BC Geology

modified from Soregaroli & Whitford, 1976, Fig. 2



BRENDA, BC Stage 2 (potassic) veins



EXAMPLES: Batholithic and Early halo type deposits

	BATHOL <> PORPH	EARLY HALO <> A VEIN TYPE TYPE
Quartz Creek, WA, USA		
 Brenda, BC, Canada 		
Butte, MT, USA		
 Lomas Bayas, Chile 		
 Valley, Highland Valley, BC, Can 		
 Chuquicamata, Chile 		
 Los Pelambres, Chile 		
 Copper Creek, AZ, USA 		
 Haquira, Peru 		
 Ann-Mason Pass, NV, USA 		
 Escondida Este, Chile 		

CONCLUSIONS

- Batholithic deposits are substantially different from Classic porphyry systems; Cheney & Trammell were among the first to recognize these differences.
- <u>All</u> Batholithic deposits are Early-halo type systems; likely formed above cupolas emplaced at >4-5 km depths. EHT systems range from end-member Batholithic to transitional Classic.
- Characteristics considered typical of Batholithic systems in 1970s now also recognized in some (reversed zoning) or all (crosscutting qz-ser) Classic systems.
- EHT systems more common than recognized. Musc-dominant early halos widely mismapped as qz-ser or 'D' veins. Can be correctly identified based on crosscutting relationships, textures, and mineralogy.



A FINAL WORD

"Exploration programs and Federal land withdrawals based on models of porphyry ore deposits may not recognize batholithic deposits."

- final sentence of abstract, Cheney & Trammell, 1975



biotite crackles, Continental area, Butte, MT, 1973



REFERENCES:

For a list of citations, email 'kbriedell@shaw.ca'