Effect of chemical composition to large scale CO₂ Injection in Morrow Sandstone, Farnsworth Hydrocarbon Field, Texas, USA

> Bulbul Ahmmed Martin Appold

Department of Geological Sciences University of Missouri-Columbia



Introduction

Injection well 1310A







Location map: Farnsworth oil field, Amarillo, Texas, USA Well AWT3

Introduction

Stratigraphy of Morrow





Generalized cross section of Anadarko basin near the Farnsworth unit (Modified after Sorenson, R.P; 2005) (Zuahir, A and Puckett J Modified from Harrison, 1990, and Luchtel, 1999)

Research Questions

- 1. Nature of groundwater in the Morrow sandstone at Farnsworth Hydrocarbon Field.
- 2. How will the groundwater respond chemically to large scale injection of CO₂?
- 3. How will CO₂ rich groundwater react with the host rock?

Elemental composition of Morrow Sandstone groundwater

- from wells AWT3 and AWT4
- at depth of ~7800 ft
- on September 24, 2012; January 15, 2013; April 11, 2013



Results of chemical speciation modeling supersaturated minerals



Major minerals Zeolite Clay Carbonate Quartz Mg silicates Micas Al hydroxides Results of speciation modeling Under-saturated minerals



Major minerals Feldspar Carbonate

Mineralogy of the Morrow Sandstone



Munson (1989)

Reaction path modeling conceptual design

Stage 1: Reaction of AWT3 and AWT4 groundwater with CO₂ up to solubility of 46 g/kg fresh water at 75°C (Duan & Sun, 2003)

Stage 2: 1 kg of resultant CO_2 -saturated fluid allowed to react with 560 g of Morrow Sandstone host rock (assuming 15% porosity)

Stage 1: pH versus reaction progress



Reaction between CO₂ and water

 $H_2O + CO_2 = HCO_3^- + H^+$ $HCO_3^- + H_2O = CO_3^{2-} + H^+$

The increased amount of H⁺ in solution is responsible for lowering down pH

Morrow groundwater pH is decreasing as CO₂ is being injected and dissolved

Stage 1 Results: Mineral precipitation and dissolution



➢Quartz solubility does not depend strongly on pH, so its abundance remained constant.

 At first mesolite formed
As pH decreased it dissolved away.

Produced minerals after reaction between groundwater and CO₂

Stage 2 : Morrow mineralogy in model

| | Concentration in g/kg of |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mineral before injection | water |
| Quartz | 460 |
| Chlorite | 20 |
| Illite | 20 |
| K-Feldspar | 20 |
| Kaolinite | 20 |
| Albite | 20 |
| Total | 560 |

Stage 2: Change in pH



pH is increasing with reaction progress

Reactions of Morrow minerals with fluid

Albite + $4H^+$ = AI^{+++} + Na^+ + $2H_2O$ + $3SiO_2(aq)$

K-feldspar + $4H^+ = AI^{+++} + K^+ + 2H_2O + 3SiO_2(aq)$

Kaolinite + $6H^+ = 2AI^{+++} + 5H_2O + 2SiO_2(aq)$

Illite + $16H^+ = 0.25 Mg^{++} + 2.3Al^{+++} + 0.6K^+ + 5H_2O + 3.5SiO_2(aq)$

Clinochlore-7A + 16H⁺ = = $2AI^{+++}$ + 5 Mg⁺⁺ + 12H₂O + $3SiO_2(aq)$

Each mineral is taking up some H⁺ ion from fluid which is the main reason of rising up of pH

Stage 2 Results: Mineral precipitation



Stage 2 Results

Change in carbonate mineral saturation



Most of the carbonate minerals remained undersaturated during reaction of CO₂-rich groundwater with Morrow host rock

Stage 2 Results: Major element concentrations in groundwater



Changes in total carbon concentration

Stage 1: CO₂ injection



Carbon is increasing

Stage 2: Reaction of CO2-rich groundwater with host rock



Carbon is decreasing

Effects on porosity

| Mineral before injection | Volume (cm ³) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Albite | 7.646 |
| Clinochlore-7A | 7.611 |
| Illite | 7.148 |
| K-Feldsnar | 7 823 |
| Kaolinita | 7 71 |
| | 101.2 |
| Quartz | 181.2 |
| Total | 219.138 |

| Mineral after injection | Volume (cm ³) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Diaspore | 5.541 |
| Dolomite-ord | 0.09301 |
| Magnocito | 5 205 |
| Mugnesite | 5.235 |
| Muscovite | 8.578 |
| Nontronite-Mg | 0.0005111 |
| Quartz | 197.4 |
| Witherite | 0.0004212 |
| Total | 216.9 |

Porosity increased up to 1.0212%

Effects on permeability

| 15% porosity | 16% porosity |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2.59 × 10⁻ ⁹ | 3.22 × 10 ⁻⁹ |

Change in permeability respect to permeability at 15% porosity up to 24%

Hydraulic properties do not undergo significant change

Conclusions

- Initial groundwater composition:
 - Fluid is fairly dilute given its depth
 - Major cations: Na+, Ca++, Mg++, K+
 - Major anions: CI⁻, bicarbonate, sulfate
 - Super-saturated with respect to carbonates, Mg silicates, clays, micas, zeolites, Al hydroxides
 - Quartz saturated
 - Feldspar minerals unstable

- CO₂ injection into Morrow groundwater to solubility limit:
 - Lowers pH to 4.2
 - Prevents carbonate mineral precipitation

- Reaction of CO₂-charged groundwater with Morrow host rock:
 - Consumes C through mineral sequestration
 - Neutralizes pH up to 5.3
 - Leads to precipitation of carbonate minerals
 - Leads to porosity increase up to 1.012%
 - Leads to permeability increase up to 24%

Therefore, hydrologic properties of the Morrow Sandstone will not undergo significant changes due to sequestration of CO₂

Future work

Reactive transport modeling of CO₂ injection into the Morrow Sandstone



Porosity map of the Morrow Sandstone in the Farnsworth Unit

Acknowledgments



Southwest Partnership for CO₂ Sequestration



U.S. Department of Energy