
WEST VIRGINIA EARTHQUAKES: CRUSTAL ADJUSTMENTS ALONG THE ROME TROUGH OR SOMETHING ELSE?

McDowell, Ronald R.¹ (mcdowell@geosrv.wvnet.edu), Lewis, J. Eric¹, and Dinterman, Phillip A.¹ - ¹West Virginia Geological and Economic Survey, 1 Mont Chateau Road, Morgantown, WV 26508

the structural feature known as the Rome Trough. This structure stress within and along the margins of the Rome Trough. The "shallow" earthquakes recorded in Braxton County may reflect sli related to injection activity on the newly discovered fault.



To the right is a short segment of a cross-section (Ryder and others, 2008) that cuts across the Rome Trough in west-central West Virginia. A number of earthquakes in the vicinity of the line of section have been projected onto the cross-section and their hypocenters plotted at the correct projected depths with vertical error.

suggesting they are related to movements along the boundary faults of the Trough. Outside the Trough, but adjacent to the margin, two distinct groups of earthquakes have been recorded. The first group occurred at depths of 4 km or greater. These "deep" guakes are probably similar in origin to those within the Trough. The second group of earthquakes outside the Rome Trough occurred at hypocentral depths less than or equal to 4 km. Six of these "shallow" quakes were clustered in Braxton County, West Virginia and occurred in a single year, 2010.

Episode of development and deformation of the Precambrian craton of North America, modified from Whitmeyer and Karlstrom, 2007, Figure 20. The Rome Trough is thought to be a failed attempt to "rift" or break apart the craton that occurred 720-680 million years ago (Whitmeyer and Karlstrom, 2007).

Eastern rift basins

Continental rift boundary

Major normal faults

Mafic dike swarms

Major thrust faults

1.45–1.35 Ga granitoids

1.55–1.35 Ga juvenile crus

1.65-1.60 Ga granitoids

1.69–1.65 Ga juvenile crus

1.72–1.68 Ga juvenile are

1.76–1.72 Ga juvenile crus

1.80–1.76 Ga juvenile arcs

2.0–1.8 Ga juvenile arcs


>2.5 Ga Archean crust

1.9–1.8 Ga reworked Archean crust


2.5-2.0 Ga miogeoclinal sediments


ca. 1.70 Ga quartzite deposits

ca. 1.65 Ga quartzite deposits




Epicenters of historical and instrumental earthquakes in West Virginia from 1824 through 2013.






2.0 and greater) plotted against the locations of all currently active disposal wells (as of 10/31/2013). NOTE: the only apparent geographic correspondence is in Braxton County (arrow).



braxton_quakes_deep braxton_quakes_shallow


Eleven earthquakes have occurred in Braxton County, WV since 2000. Of these, 6 quakes with projected hypocenters less than 4 km deep occurred in a single year - 2010. Braxton County has a single saltwater disposal well that went online in 2009.

API #: 47-007-02539




Virgin reservoir pressure in target formation: 1200 psig Estimated reservoir fracture pressure: 7058 psig Maximum proposed injection volume: 300 Bbl/hr (7200 Bbl/dav) Bottom hole pressure: 5437 psig


Detailed geophysical log and engineering details for the Braxton County disposal well API# 4700702539.




Work by the Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (MRCSP, 2009) suggested the presence of a fault in the vicinity of the Braxton County disposal well based on the subsurface structure of the Devonian Oriskany Sandstone.




3-D modeling of the Oriskany structure in the Braxton County area indicates that the suspected fault is down-thrown to the west in the vicinity of the disposal well. Epicenters and hypocenters for earthquakes in and around Braxton County are shown as is the targeted injection interval for disposal well API# 4700705239. Vertical exaggeration is 75:1.



Another view of the 3-D model of the Oriskany subsea structure suggests that the suspected fault in the Braxton County area is actually a wrench fault with west side down at its north end and east side down at the south end of the fault.



Graph of cumulative injection volume vs time for well API# 4700702539. Also plotted are all Braxton County earthquakes that occurred after the well went on line in 2009. Investigations of seismicity induced by fluid injection suggest a time lag between injection activity and earthquake events (Kerr, 2012; National Research Council, 2012). Cursory examination of this graph does not immediately indicate this kind of connection.



Graph of incremental injected volume vs time for well API# 4700702539. Also plotted are all Braxton County earthquakes that occurred after the well went on line in 2009. Cursory examination of this graph does not immediately indicate a connection to larger injection volumes. A time lag correspondence might be revealed by statistical analysis but if such a connection exists it must be for an extremely irregular time interval

DISCUSSION

Earthquake activity in western West Virginia is most easily attributed to recurrent "adjustments" of the faults bounding the Rome Trough. While this may be the case for quakes with hypocenters deeper than 3 - 4 km, shallower earthquakes are not so easily explained. Of recent interest are a series of earthquakes in Braxton County, WV that began in 2010 and whose epicenters are clustered geographically around the county's single waste-water disposal well.

Further complicating the situation is a previously unsuspected fault, discovered during detailed mapping of the subsurface structure of the Oriskany Sandstone. This fault appears to underlie Braxton County and intersects the target injection interval of the disposal well. This raises the possibility of seismicity induced as a result of injection activity.

Available injection data, when compared to the timing of earthquake events in the county, do not immediately suggest a direct link between injection volume/time nor a more subtle link involving a recognizable time lag. Detailed statistical analysis of these data might confirm such a link but the near-cessation of earthquake activity in the area, the difficulty in pinpointing the "true" vertical depth of earthquake hypocenters, and the quality of available data makes this confirmation difficult, if not impossible.

REFERENCES

Kerr, R. A., 2012, Learning how NOT to make your own earthquakes: Science, v. 335, p. 1436-1437.

Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (MRCSP), 2009, Characterization of Geologic Sequestration Opportunities in the MRCSP Region: Middle Devonian-Middle Silurian Formations: Project Final Report, 149 p.

National Research Council, 2012, Induced Seismicity Potential in Energy Technologies: National Academy of Science - Prepublication Committee Report, 225 p.

Ryder, R. T., Swezey, C. S., Crangle, R. D., and Trippi, M. H., 2008, Geologic Cross Section E-E' through the Appalachian Basin from the Findlay Arch, Wood County, Ohio to the Valley and Ridge Province, Pendleton County, West Virginia: USGS Scientific Investigation Map 2985, Cross Section, 48 p.

Whitmeyer, S. J. and Karlstrom, K. E., 2007, Tectonic model for the Proterozoic growth of North America: Geosphere, v. 3, no. 4,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This presentation includes data prepared and analyzed as part of a project by the Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (MRCSP) funded under DOE-NETL Cooperative Agreement DE-FC26-05NT42589.

