

### Abstract

**Benthic foraminifera from nine sediment samples** recovered during Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Leg 189: The Tasman Gateway were examined to determine how changing **Southern Ocean conditions affect benthic foraminiferal** populations. All samples come from Site 1168 situated 70km from the coast of Tasmania on the western continental slope (2463 m below the surface) and are spaced at roughly 150,000 years apart. Identifications of benthic foraminifera were done with a dissecting microscope and several reference books. Foraminifera were correlated with environmental proxy data obtained from Brughmans (2003) (total organic carbon, carbonate, siliciclastics, coarse fractions, chlorin, barium, aluminum, iron, and titanium) and The Scientific Shipboard Party (2001) (density, magnetic susceptibility, and lightness).

The data demonstrates that benthic foraminifera responded to large-scale changes in the ocean surface waters caused by the movement of the subtropical convergence during glacial and interglacial periods. Genera such as Uvigerina, Cibicidoides, Cibicides, and Notoralia tend to be more successful during glacial periods when there is greater abundance of wind-blown terrigenous metals delivered to the ocean; whereas genera *Pyrgo* and species *Epistominella* elegans and Hansenisca soldanii seem to thrive under interglacial conditions when there is higher productivity in the surface waters. This research also adds to the growing knowledge base of cool-water carbonate environments and provides further evidence of a mid-Pleistocene extinction event affecting the genus *Siphondosaria* and others.

## <u>Objectives</u>

To assess the benthic paleocommunity (mostly) through the benthic foraminifers) during glacial and interglacial periods.

To add to the growing wealth of information on coolwater carbonate environments

To assess the likelihood of gravity flows at site.

# (ODP LEG 189, SITE 1168)





this project.

# Bio-lithogenic Grain Abundance

(Right) Table showing relative abundances of different types of grains in the samples (benthic forams, planktonic forams, mollusk shells, ostracode valves, echinoderm spines, quartz, carbonate, fish material, bryozoans, opaque minerals, spicules, and pteropods). 0 = absent, 1 = very rare, 2 = occasional, 3 = common, 4 = abundant. Approximate ages are listed under the sample column. These are derived from using sedimentation rates from the site report (Shipboard scientific party, 2001) and N. Brughmans' oxygen isotope data (2003).

Depth (mbsf)	Benthic foraminifera	Planktonic foraminifera	Shells	Ostracods	Echinoderm Spines	Quartz	Fish material	Bryozoan fragments
0.28	3	4	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.78	3	4	1	2	2	0	0	2
3.28	3	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
4.78	4	4	1	2	2	0	0	3
6.28	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	3
7.58	3	4	1	1	1	1	1	3
9.08	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	1
10.58	3	4	1	1	1	1	1	3
12.08	4	4	1	1	1	0	1	3
13.58	3	4	1	2	2	0	0	2
15.08	3	4	1	2	2	0	1	2

### (Above)The change in ocean current **location resulting from glacial (even** numbered MIS) versus interglacial (odd numbered MIS) periods.

(Johnson, unpublished)

# Methods

- Samples were weighed, washed, wet-sieved at 63 µm, and dried.
- Each sample was dry-sieved at numerous gravel-, and sand-size fractions.
- The individual size-fractions were weighed and catalogued.

Gravel- and sand-size fractions were microscopically evaluated, and photomicrographs were taken.

- Results were graphed and analyzed statistically.
- Samples were picked for biogenic material.
- Biogenic material was glued onto microslides and identified.

# Foraminifera Correlations & Assemblages:

									-
				Cibicid-	Cibicides	Uvigerina	Siphond-	А.	٨
	AI	Fe	Ti	oides spp.	spp.	spp.	osaria spp.	globosus	clath
Cibicid-									
oides spp.	0.225	0.340	0.329						
Cibicides									
spp.	<mark>0.642</mark>	<mark>0.712</mark>	<mark>0.736</mark>	0.430					
Uvigerina									
spp.	0.217	0.320	0.305	<mark>0.514</mark>	0.428				
Siphond-									
osaria spp.	<mark>0.652</mark>	<mark>0.643</mark>	<mark>0.667</mark>	<mark>0.634</mark>	<mark>0.773</mark>	<mark>0.771</mark>			
A. globosus	<mark>0.751</mark>	<mark>0.690</mark>	<mark>0.737</mark>	0.344	<mark>0.623</mark>	<mark>0.638</mark>	<mark>0.735</mark>		
N. clathrata	0.336	0.385	0.376	<mark>0.848</mark>	<mark>0.597</mark>	<mark>0.759</mark>	<mark>0.838</mark>	<mark>0.623</mark>	
M.									
barleeanus	0.194	0.281	0.233	0.469	0.315	<mark>0.697</mark>	<mark>0.647</mark>	0.164	<mark>0.6</mark>

(Above) Table of correlation coefficients between AI, Fe, Ti, Cibicidoides spp., Cibicides spp., Uvigerina spp. Siphondosaria spp., A. globosus, N. clathrata, and M. Barleeanus. Yellow is used to highlight the correlations between these groups and terrigenous sediment, leading them to be clustered into an assemblage.



Siphondosaria lepidula



(Above) Table of correlation coefficients between lightness, carbonate, TOC, siliciclastics, coarse fractions, chlorin, *Pyrgo* spp., E. elegans, and H. soldanii. Green is used to highlight the correlations between these groups and surface productivity proxies, leading them to be clustered into an assemblage.



### Conclusions

(1)Benthic communities are affected by global climate changes.

(2)The absence of coral fragments and other data support that the site is a cool-water carbonate (heterozoan) setting.

(3) Gravity flows probably did, occasionally, impact the benthic community.



Pyrgo lucernula

Above) Stratigraphic records of the absolute abundance of the most abundant species and genus groups compared to depth.         Species       Depth       Absolute Abundance         (mbsf)       0.28       1.78       4.78       6.28       7.58       9.08       10.58       12.08       15         L filtformis       0       0       0       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       1       0<
Above) Stratigraphic records of the absolute abundance of the most abundant species and genus groups compared to depth.         Species       Absolute Abundance         1 fillionnis       0       0       0       1       0         2 contentials*       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0         2 contentials*       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0
Image: A state of the state of the state of the state of the most abundance of
Above) Stratigraphic records of the absolute abundance of the most abundant species and genus groups compared to depth.         Depth       Absolute Abundance         Species       0       0       0       10.58       12.08       15         L. fillformis       0       0       0       0       1       0       10         E. orientalis*       1       0       0       0       3       4       4         H. lingulata       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. "Some of the spectidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis."       Next Steps         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. "Some of the spectidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis."         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. "Some of the spectidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis."         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. "Some of the spectidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis."         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential from more pelagic settings: Site 1171&1172.         (Bottown abundance of potential from more pelagic settings: Site 1171&1172.
(Above) Stratigraphic records of the absolute abundance of the most abundant species and genus groups compared to depth.         Depth       Absolute Abundance         Species       (mbsf)       0.28       1.78       4.78       6.28       7.58       9.08       10.58       12.08       15         L. filiformis       0       0       0       0       0       1       0       10         E. orientalis*       1       0       0       0       0       3       4       4         H. lingulata       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       1       0 <t< th=""></t<>
Absolute Abundance         Species       Depth (mbsf)       0.28       1.78       4.78       6.28       7.58       9.08       10.58       12.08       15         L. filiformis       0       0       0       0       0       1       0       1       0         E. orientalis*       1       0       0       0       0       3       4       4       4         H. lingulata       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         N. clathrata       0       0       1       0       5       0       7       5         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. *Some of the spectidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis.         Next Steps       1       Request more samples. The resolution of this study is very low~150ky gaps between samples.       2)         Compare to material from more pelagic settings: Site 1171&1172.       3)       Include pelagic foraminifera in analysis
Species       (mbsf)       0.28       1.78       4.78       6.28       7.58       9.08       10.58       12.08       15         L. filiformis       0       0       0       0       0       1       0       1       0         E. orientalis*       1       0       0       0       0       3       4       4       4         H. lingulata       0       0       1       0       <
L. filiformis       0       0       0       0       0       1       0         E. orientalis*       1       0       0       0       0       3       4       4         H. lingulata       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         N. clathrata       0       0       1       0       5       0       7       5         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth.       *Some of the specidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis.         Next Steps       1       Request more samples. The resolution of this study is very low       150ky gaps between samples.         2)       Compare to material from more pelagic settings: Site 1171&1172.       3)       Include pelagic foraminifera in analysis
Londriting       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0
N. clathrata       0       0       1       0       5       0       7       5         (Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. *Some of the specidentified as Globocassidulina subglobosa may actually be Evolvocassidulina orientalis.         Next Steps         1) Request more samples. The resolution of this study is very low~150ky gaps between samples.         2) Compare to material from more pelagic settings: Site 1171&1172.         3) Include pelagic foraminifera in analysis
<ul> <li>(Above) Absolute abundance of potential shallow water foraminifera by depth. *Some of the specidentified as <i>Globocassidulina subglobosa</i> may actually be <i>Evolvocassidulina orientalis</i>.</li> <li><b>Next Steps</b> <ol> <li>Request more samples. The resolution of this study is very low~150ky gaps between samples.</li> <li>Compare to material from more pelagic settings: Site 1171&amp;1172.</li> <li>Include pelagic foraminifera in analysis</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
Selected Defenences +

Special thanks to everyone who supported me through this process, especially my advisor Katie Lewandowski, my fiancé Patrick Phelan, and my parents Ron and Kitty Hughes.

