Go Small or Go Home

How Small is Too Small for Isotope Ratio Analysis?



John Valley UW - Madison

Tyler Blum, David Reinhard









# CAMECA IMS- 3f, -7f \* IMS- 1270, -1300 NanoSIMS

## SHRIMP











SIMS Uncertainty <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O



Additional factors: Deadtime, QSA, IMF

## **Precision of Analyses vs. Primary Beam Current**









## Atom Probe Tomography

Quantitative Spatially resolved, sub-nm Single-atom scale Mass-spectrometry

Nano-Geochronology Evaluate Pb mobility in zircon

> LEAP 3000 LEAP 4000 LEAP 5000



# 





### CAMECA Instruments Factory, Madison, WI







Valley et al. 2015 Am Min







CAMECA°



Valley et al. 2015 Am. Min. Valley et al. 2016, GSA, Denver



#### Small is always better..... until it isn't.



# How Small is Too Small for Isotope Ratio Analysis?

Depends on the application:
<≈ 0.5 µm for δ<sup>18</sup>O at natural abundance
<≈ 10 nm for <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb in Archean clusters

