## LARAMIDE-AGE STRUCTURE, GEOCHEMISTRY AND ORIGIN OF UNAWEEP CANYON IN NORTHERN UNCOMPAHGRE PLATEAU, COLORADO



Trumbo, Adam L., Feil, Michael J., Livaccari, Richard, Johnson, Verner C., Price, Alexandra M. and Environmental Sciences, Colorado Mesa University, 1100 North Ave, Grand Junction, CO 81501

#### **Regional and Geologic Maps**



Figure 1. Regional map of central western Colorado

Figure 2. Geologic map of the study area (USGS I-360-1) overlaid onto study area region using ArcGIS.

### **Structures of the Northern Uncompany Plateau**



Figure 3. Structural map of the northern Uncompany Plateau, CO. Provided by Livaccari et al., 2016.

#### Introduction

The Uncompany Plateau (Figure 1) is a NWSE striking asymmetrical anticlinal Laramide uplift bounded on the west by the Uncompany fault, a reactivated Permian/Pennsylvanian reverse fault originating in the Ancestral Rocky Mountain orogenic period (Baars, 1981). The eastern boundary (Figure 3) consists of multiple WNW-ESE striking, left-lateral oblique-slip faults which splay into transpressive bends along multiple NW-SE striking monoclines and reverse faults (Livaccari et al., 2016). Stratigraphically the area has a significant angular unconformity between the Triassic, Jurassic and Cretaceous sediments and the underlying Precambrian igneous intrusive bodies and metamorphic facies. The sediments overlying the angular unconformity begin with the Triassic Chinle Formation and continue upsection through the lower Cretaceous Burro Canyon and Dakota Formations (Figure 2). Classification of the Mesozoic, 🔽 🔨 🖉 Paleozoic, and Precambrian facies is thoroughly addressed by J.E Case (1991), as is much of the structural mapping of the northwestern portion of the Uncompany Plateau. Laramide orogenic stresses provided the impetus for the reactivation of the Permian/Pennsylvanian fractures, introducing plastic deformation of Jurassic sediments as evidenced by the Cactus Park and Redlands reverse fault, and were responsible for the brittle deformation of Jurassic sediments along the eastern portion of the Uncompany uplift (Livicarri, 2007). Finally, Laramide tectonic extensional stresses acting upon the transpressional faulted central northern Uncompaghre Plateau, formed the Unaweep Canyon Fault as a series of down-to-the SE normal fault structures (Figure 4), supporting the previous hypothesis of the modern canyon forming due to incision in the late Cenozoic by the ancestral Gunnison and/or Colorado (Hood et al., 2014).



# Entrada Sandst Dakota Sandston Precambrian Rock

#### **Structural Summary**

A series of 109 structural data points were recorded in the eastern Unaweep Canyon study area. ents at locations (Figure 5) verified WNW-ESE striking, left-lateral oblique-slip faults that cross-cut Unaweep Canyon. Based on slickenside striations along these faults, the structures have left-lateral strike-slip and both normal and reverse-dip slip. This data was compiled and ing FaultKin (Allmendinger, 2016), transferred into stereonet diagrams, which sistent with Laramide-age tectonic forces (Diagram 1). The tensional strain ests that Unaweep Canyon originally formed as a series of down-to-the SE normal fault structures. The NE-SW striking Unaweep Canyon normal faults formed as transtensional the cross-canyon controlling WNW-ESE striking faults (Figure 4). These majo s include the Nancy Hanks Gulch. Taylor Gulch, Rocky Pitch Gulch – Big anyon. for example the Dry Creek Gulch Fault. Country rocks adjacent to The sequence begins with synkinematic fluorite-amethyst-calcite-hen and barite, followed by post-kinematic Cu-carbonates, and small concentrations of sulfides. Mineralized flumes are located along left-lateral oblique-slip faults (Figure 4). Multiple types of brecciation have been observed along faults suggesting they formed by a combination of high fluid pressures and tectonic comminution in zones of transtensional faulting (Figure 7).

### **Unaweep Fault**

## **Structures of Pinon Mesa**

![](_page_0_Figure_21.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_23.jpeg)

Figure 4. Structural map and cross-sections of the Unaweep Fault in eastern Unaweep Canyon, northern Uncompany Plateau, CO.

Figure 5. Structural map of eastern Unaweep Canyon. Symbols and structural features can be referenced to the legend in Figure 4. Gold stars with numbers represent locations which correspond to pictures and/or sample locations in Figure 6 and Figure 7. Gold stars without numbers represent locations where data was sampled from similiar outcrops and landforms to numbered gold stars.

#### **Structural Analysis**

![](_page_0_Picture_27.jpeg)

Diagram 1. Red steronet represents NW-SF extensional strain, blue steronet represent NE-SW compressional strain.

#### **Pictures of Study Area**

![](_page_0_Picture_30.jpeg)

Hydrothermal model

2. Structural and mineralogical model of hydrothermal systems observed in the northern Jncompandere Plateau, CO; based on geochemical observations and comparable models published by Fisher and Julilland, 1986.

#### **Brecciation Photos**

![](_page_0_Picture_35.jpeg)

e-age pull-apart basin between the controlling left-lateral strike-s s found in Cactus Park. Nancy Hanks Gulch. Taylor Gulch. Rocky Pitch Gulch, and North Gill Creek. Canvon Fault has a displacement of 140 ft. just east of Rocky Pitch Gulch. Prior to this study, there were two major hypotheses for the formation of Unaweep Canyon; Cenozoic incision by the ancestral Gunnison and/or Colorado rivers (Hood et al., 2014), or Permian-age glaciation creating a sediment filled glacial valley humed by ancestral river flow (Soreghan et al., 2007). We find the latter to be implausible due to the structural and geochemical data collected. The correlation of cross-canyon faulting extending into the Jurassic sedimentary layers, and the evidences of normal fault kinematics within the eastern Unaweep Canyon proper, restrict the timeframe of the Unaweep Canyon Fault formation to the Mesozoic or Cenozoic period. Radiometric dating would constrain this timframe. Also using Pinon Mesa elemental concentration data as a control group for north and south Nancy Hanks elemental concentration data some differentiation of geochemical signatures on either side should be evident due to influx of sedimentary material from infill. In addition, due to the difference in mechanical competency between Triassic infill and Precambrian crystalline rock, some form of deviation to the strike of the cross canyon faulting would be expected. Based on the structural data, the series of Laramide NE-SW striking normal faults between the controlling WSW-ESE striking left-lateral oblique-slip faults collectively created zones of weakness capitalized by Cenozoic river incision, leading to the formation of the modern Unaweep Canyon.

![](_page_0_Picture_39.jpeg)

#### **Geochemical Data**

![](_page_0_Figure_41.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_42.jpeg)

Diagram 3. Elemental geochemical signatures of samples taken from seven locations on the northern company Plateau. This data is preliminary, further sampling is required for a more in depth analysis. Locations can be referenced by color to Diagram 4 legend. Data processed by Actlabs, Canada.

#### **Brecciation Diagram**

Figure 7. Brecciation samples and outcrops on the Uncompany Plateau, with corresonding numbered gold stars to map locations on Figure 5 (left). Schematic illustration (right) with different mechanisms of brecciation in hydrothermal systems and geometric representation (Jebrak, 1997).

#### Conclusion

![](_page_0_Figure_48.jpeg)

### **Preliminary Geochemical Summary**

Multiple samples were gathered from seven mineralized zones along fractures in Unaweep Canyon and Pinon Mesa (Fig. 5). The samples were crushed and micro-milled. A whole rock lithogeochemistry analysis was performed using ICP-OES and ICP-MS at ACTLabs, Canada. Elemental analysis data was raphed per element as concentration in ppm (Diagram 3). Of interest was the correlation between elemental signatures related to the elevation and location of the sample sites. Upper Nancy Hanks mine samples retained the same elemental peaks in both the north and south side samples. Though different than ' the peaks from upper mine samples, the lower Nancy Hanks mine samples also retained peak correlation in both north and south side samples. Pinon Mesa lower and upper samples correlated with lower Nancy Hanks mines. High levels of As, Pb, Mo, and Zn, were used to reference a proper hydrotherma model (Diagram 2), (Fisher et al., 1986). Cu and Sr were anomalously high on Pinon Mesa reflecting the influx of Tertiary intrusive fed hydrothermal nineralization. REE data returned was then chondrite normalized and plotted (McDonough, 1995). The graphed data points indicate the minerals were derived from an intermediate source rock (Diagram 4). Because samples were taken from vein material they reflect the chemical constituents of the source | rock. The Precambrian igneous basment in the area of the samples is primarily rediate composition, and therefore could be the source of this data.

#### References

- Allmendinger, R.W., Cardozo, N., and Fisher, D., 2012, Structural geology algorithms: Vectors and tensors in structural geology: Cambridge University Press. p. 302 Baars, D. L., & Stevenson, G., M., 1981. Tectonic evolution of western Colorado and eastern Utah. NM: New Mexico Geological Society. Case, J., E., 1991. Geologic map of the northwestern part of the Uncompany uplift, Grand County, Utah, and Mesa County, Colorado, with emphasis on Proterozoic rock 1991 0-865-705. US Government Printing Office. Emmons, W., H., Larsen, E., S., 1923. Geology and Ore Deposits of the Creede District, CO. USGS, Washington GPO, Bulletin 718. Fisher, D., G., Julilland, J., D., 1986. Mineral deposit types and their characteristics. USDOI, BLM Denver, CO., 3031-1 Hood, W., Aslan, A., & Betton, C., 2014. Aftermath of a stream capture: Cactus Park lake spillover and the origin of East Creek, Uncompany
- Plateau, western Colorado. Geosphere, 447-461. Jebrak, M., 1997. Hydrothermal breccias in vein-type ore deposits: A review of mechanisms, morphology and size distribution. Elsevier Science B.V., Ore Geology Reviews 12, p. 111–134.
- Livaccari, R., Director, 2007, October 27. Laramide-Age Left-Lateral Strike-Slip Deformation Along the Northern Uncompany Plateau, Western Colorado. GSA Denver Annual Meeting. Lecture conducted from, Denver Co. Livaccari, R., Trumbo, A., Feil, M., 2016, Laramide Structure of the Uncompany Plateau of western Colorado: GSA Annual Meeting, Denver Colorado,
- Mankowski, L., C., Director 2002, July 21. Structural mapping of the Uncompany Front near Gateway, Colorado With emphasis on Ancestral Rocky Mountain fabrics. AAPG Hedberg Conference. Lecture conducted from , Vail, Colorado.
- Marrett, R.A., and Allmendinger, R.W., 1990, Kinematic analysis of fault-slip data: Journal of Structural Geology, v.12, p. 973-986 McDonough, W., F., and Sun S., -s., 1995. The Composition of the Earth Chemical Geology, p.g. 223-253 Soreghan, G., Sweet, D., Marra, K., Eble, C., Soreghan, M., Elmore, R., ... Blum, M. (n.d.). An Exhumed Late Paleozoic Canyon in the Rocky
- Mountains. J GEOL The Journal of Geology, 473-481. Thomson, S., N., Soreghan, G., Eccles, T., M., 2012. Elevated Cenozoic geothermal gradients and later post-6 Ma incision of the Uncompany Plateau and Unaweep Canyon (western Colorado) revealed by low temperature thermochronology. GSA Rocky Mountain Section - 64th Annual Meeting, GSA Abstracts with Programs, Vol. 44, No. 6, p. 18.

#### Acknowledgements

Special thanks to: Colorado Mesa University Geology Faculty Members for guidance, advice, and sharing information throughout this project: Dr. Rex Cole, Dr. Andres Aslan, and Dr. William Hood.

Colorado Mesa University Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences

Grand Junction Geological Society for the grants that allowed for the chemical analysis of samples.

Paul Ashcraft, Dal Aubert, Della Long, Dennis Carns, Kenyon and Mary Fields, Mel Bersch, The Massey Family.