

Differences Between Digital and Classical Field Notebooks for Inclusive Geoscience Programs

Haddock, Amanda, Dickinson College; Thatcher, Sean, The City University of New York, College of Staten Island; O'Brien, Mathew, University of Calgary; Vinson, Grant, Michigan State University; Todd, Anna C., University of Colorado Boulder; Pritchard, Caroline E., Lehigh University; Sullivan, Betty, Lake Superior State University; Miller, Leah Z., Tarrant County College; Thomas, Christian, Ohio University; Kilpack, Will, Arizona State University; Morris, Malea, University; Versity; Versity and **Atchison**, Christopher L., University of Cincinnati.

Abstract

Field notebooks are an instrumental tool for geologists. However, they pose challenges for students with physical disabilities, mainly related to limited fine motor skills or because of the need for assistive mobility devices to navigate field sites. Students with diverse physical abilities cannot always effectively handle the pencil and paper approach to typical field notebooks. A GEOPATH project led by a team of researchers associated with the International Association for Geoscience Diversity and funded by the National Science Foundation brought together a cohort of undergraduates with and without physical disabilities examined the use of iPads and other mobile technologies to record field observations on projects ranging from stratigraphy to field mapping. These trials occurred in northern Arizona in 2016 and western Ireland in 2017. Throughout each of the projects, several advantages and disadvantages of electronic field notes were observed, ranging from functionality to cost. The benefits included rain resistant notes that were stored and shared in the cloud, the ability to annotate images to immediately add to notes, carrying fewer tools due to available apps, and GPS services to accurately determine exact outcrop locations. Several disadvantages were also noted, such as the cost of the iPad and apps, battery life, sun glare, and the technological competency of users new to utilizing mobile devices. Despite these disadvantages, this novel approach to a classic field task successfully improved student engagement, allowing them to equally participate alongside their peers.

Introduction

Field research is an important component for all geoscience programs, but for students with physical limitations it can be difficult to record notes using classical field notebooks due to using mobility devices to navigate the difficult terrain of many field locations. A two year GEOPATH project in 2016 and 2017 led by a team of researchers associated with the International Association for Geoscience Diversity and funded by the National Science Foundation, brought together a cohort of undergraduates with and without physical disabilities to compare classical field notebooks to modern digital notebooks to determine its effectiveness at promoting inclusion. During the two years of the project, undergraduates spent 10 days in Arizona in 2016 and 10 days in Western Ireland in 2017 to determine the benefits and limitations of iPads for inclusive field research endeavors. Some of the locations visited in Arizona included the Grand Canyon, SP Crater, and Meteor Crater; while in Western Ireland field locations included Killke, Renville Point, and Connemara Recess. Students participated in several activities to observe their effectiveness of these devices in field exercises – specifically stratigraphy, field mapping, data collection, and note taking.











GEOPATH group photo, Western Ireland 2017, Phoenix Arizona 2016.

Throughout these experiences students worked in groups composed of students with and without physical disabilities to encourage students to work together to understand geological structures and complete various exercises. StratLogger, an application used to create stratigraphic columns, was used to describe the stratigraphy of the Grand Canyon while traversing the Trail of Time. FieldMove, a digital field mapping tool, was used in the Connemara Recess region of Ireland to collect geologic information (i.e. strikes and dips), and to construct a digital field map. Several note taking applications were also used – specifically Evernote and Google docs, and Apple iPad Note app– to assist students with data collection in the field.

anyon: Eastern Wall t - darker black color - columnar - mafic igneous rock > volcanic deposition ino Sandstone - buff / yellowish color - cross bedding - aeolian or beach dune = sand source for sandstone (diagenetic) formation - fluvial could lead to some smaller depth cross	Eastern Wall ker black color umnar ic igneous rock volcanic deposition Sandstone / yellowish color as bedding lian or beach dune = source for sandstone enetic) formation ial could lead to some er deoth cross	AM * 100%
bedding, as there was varies times of shallow sea draining and filling bly Hill Formation - coastal deposition environment - oscillation in deposit compositions - distinct orange color	Z 0 Y 0 Y 0 X 0 V	Formation: Hakatai Sand QFL: QFL Carb Grains: Grains Color: Reuse Old Color Fossils: Fossils Bedforms: Bedforms Latitude: Latitude:
Formation Inconformity (mis. geo. data) Iuvial / coastal erosion = ain sz. from silt-sand neven layers)	Move Up Move Down	Latitude: Latitude Date: 2016-05-11 Longitude: Longitude Time: 13:20 Acquire Data

Digital Vs. Classical Field Notebooks





Methods

Methods: A survey was conducted after the field experiences to better understand the students perspectives on the effectiveness of digital field notebooks, if they believed that they helped promote inclusive field research experiences, limitations of these notebooks, and suggestions to further increase inclusion for all physical ability levels of students in the geosciences. The survey consisted of 17 questions. Familiarity and comfortability questions were rated on a 10 point scale; short answer spaces were provided for responses focused on specific likes/dislikes or advantages/disadvantages; and multiple answers could be chosen for questions asking which apps worked better or worse for specific tasks.



Graph 1: Average familiarity with iPad usage prior to and after the GEOPATH project on a scale of 1 to 10. A 13% increase of familiarity prior to GEOPATH 2016 and post GEO PATH 2017 was found (7.4/10 familiarity prior to and 8.5/10 familiarity post GEOPATH experience). This suggests that students are capable of learning and building comforta bility with new technology in the field in relatively short periods of time with moderate assistance.

Student Perspectives on How Note Taking Apps Pro couraged Inclusion			
Promoted	Discour		
Increased students ability to com- bine their notes and collaborate with others to meet learning goals	Dependence on str ble signal (Wifi or o cilitate communica collaboration		
Removed physical barriers for students with limited fine motor skills - touch screens, voice dicta- tion, etc.	Intermittently requised to depend on other tos, videos, or use tures due to limited cess to some outcr		
Allowed images of outcrops to be added and annotated efficiently with group members	Difficult to use if u inexperienced with nologies		
Enabled students with limited field experiences to readily look up new terminology	Technologies are e unattainable for lo nomic persons and		
Efficient data collection via Drop- Box file sharing, GPS tagging, and crowd sourcing methods	Limited storage can iPad and note takin cially with free ver and cloud sharing		

Table 1: A summary of student responses discussing how note taking apps on the
 iPad either encouraged or discouraged inclusion for students with physical limitations. Responses were collected via survey through short answers provided by each student and consolidated to five points either by repetition of responses or combining multiple responses into one idea.

Discussion

Inclusivity of those managing physical barriers and disabilities should be taken into account by those without physical disabilities. Technology can help to promote inclusivity by providing a diversity of methods to incorporate various needs and accommodations. Digital field notebooks are a great first step down the path of inclusion because it provides the basic tools one needs to complete a broad range of geoscience field research tasks such as measuring planar and linear features, mapping, notetaking, sketching, and annotating. Relating to Table 2, there are plenty of negatives that come along with any research tool. However, there are easy ways of mitigating these cons, such as sun glare resistant screen protectors for iPads, online tutorials for iPad and iPad app usage and the use small, lightweight portable chargers or solar chargers into the field.



omoted or Dis-

aged

rong and relia-Cellular) to faation and thus

uired students ers to take phoother app feaed physical ac-

nfamiliar and n mobile tech-

xpensive and w socioecod groups

apacity of the ng apps, espesions of apps storage sites



Graph 2: Student perspectives on the most beneficial apps used during the two years of the GEOPATH project. StratLogger and FieldMove were believed to be the most useful during the project for specific exercises, while Google Docs was more preferred than Evernote for recording notes throughout the project.

Technological Pros and Cons of Digital Field Notebooks		
Pros	Cons	
Made organizing notes easier and more efficient, as well as legible	Remembering to charge the iPad the night before	
Convenient collaboration via WiFi and 4G connectivity	Can cause eye strain form light, may require screen-time breaks	
Numerous tools included with iPad's - camera, word processor, GPS, voice recorder, etc.	Sun glare, bulky, overheating, wa- ter protection	
Facilitated conversations be- tween students and groups with different technological aptitudes	Cumbersome to use without be- ing instructed on proper usage	
Customization options for text size, colors, sketching, edits di- rectly on images, etc.	Expensive	

Table 2: Summary of student responses about the pros and cons relating to iPad use as a digital field notebook. Responses were collected via survey through short answers by each researcher and consolidated by repetition of response or by combination of multiple similar responses into one idea.