Upper Viséan (Meramecian-Chesterian) Conodont Biostratigraphy of the SW Ozarks of NW Oklahoma, SW Missouri, and NW Arkansas

Cory J. Godwin¹ and James O. Puckette²

¹Consulting Geologist , Tulsa, Oklahoma, godwin.geology@gmail.com ²Boone Pickens School of Geology , Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma

Purpose

- Relative time-constrained evaluation of Meramecian and early to middle Chesterian (pre-Fayetteville) strata and conodont taxa within the outcrop area
- User-friendly provincial biostratigraphic template for surface and subsurface correlations and interpretations



Oklahoma Mississippian Plays

Historically thought to be exclusively Kinderhookian & Osagean (lower Boone Group)

Shale

<u>8</u> Moorefield

of Arkansas

Shale

Ð

Fayettevill



Caney & Sycamore exposures

Problematic Subsurface and Industry Stratigraphy





Study Area

- Western edge of the Mississippian outcrop belt
- Two distinct
 "lithostratigraphic districts" within the study area



Revised Outcrop Lithostratigraphy



Osagean Boone Group
 nomenclature based on
 Mazzullo et al. (2013)

Pryor Creek Formation
 (new name; Godwin et al., 2018)

Revised Boundaries and contacts

SUB-MAYES UNCONFORMITY

- Most significant surface in the study area major sequence-bounding unconformity Significant shift in depositional style
- 2. Mayes Group overlies strata ranging in age from Ordovician through Mississippian
- 3. Paleotopographic erosional relief mixed with predepositional and possibly syndepositional structural elements







Rock hammer for scale

- Upper (Meramecian) Boone Group strata represent a continuation of lower (Kinderhookian-Osagean) Boone Group deposition
- Multiple unconformities and anomalous stratigraphic relationships within Boone Group
- Lithologic similarities between not only between lower and upper Boone Group strata, but also between certain parts of the Mayes Group and Boone Group



Group

Sampling Methods and Conodont Recoveries

- Averaged (sub)meter-scale sampling intervals
 - Also sampled above and below important boundaries and lithologic changes
- Process samples of at least 2 kg
- More than 14,000 identifiable P1 elements (+ more than 30,000 non-P1 elements and unidentifiable fragments)
- Resulting in designation of 4 informal provincial biozones and 3 subzones



Gnathodus texanus

- Long-ranging form species spanning the entire study interval
- Representing possible new species, subspecies, and morphotypes



Biozone 1 Fauna

- Ritchey Fm. in the Tri-State area & Tahlequah Lmst. to the southwest
- Warsaw-Salem? equivalent
- First and only occurrence of:
 - *Gnathodus* n. sp. 15 aff. *punctatus*
 - Gnathodus sp. A
- Top marked by last occurrence of *Gnathodus pseudosemiglaber*
- Increased or common occurrence of Taphrognathus
- First occurrence of *Lochriea* homopunctatus (Tahlequah Limestone)



Biozone 2 Fauna

- Moccasin Bend Fm. & Quapaw Lmst. Of the Tri-State area, not present to the SW
- Lower St. Louis-equivalent
- First occurrence of *Hindeodus cristula*
- First occurrence of species of *Cavusgnathus*
- Top marked by last occurrence of *Taphrognathus*

Co-occurrence of Cavusgnathus & Taphrognathus

 Continuing taxa: G. texanus, L. homopunctatus, and Taphrognathus







Hindeodus cristula



Cavusgnathus unicornis



Cavusgnathus charactus

Biozone 3 Fauna

- Bayou Manard Member of the Pryor Creek Formation (Mayes Group) – NOT PRESENT IN THE TRI-STATE AREA
- Upper St. Louis-equivalent

Occurrence of Cavusgnathus WITHOUT Taphrognathus

- First occurrence of *Hindeodontoides spiculus*
- Continuing taxa: *G. texanus, L. homopunctatus, H. cristula,* and species of *Cavusgnathus*



Hindeodontoides spiculus

Biozone 4 Fauna

- Major faunal shift
- Definitive Chesterian fauna (Maples and Waters, 1987)
- First occurrences of:
 - Gnathodus girtyi girtyi
 - *Rhachistognathus* sp. B aff. *R. muricatus*
 - Lochriea commutata
 - Gnathodus bilineatus (Biozones 4M and 4U)
- Continuing taxa: *H. cristula*, *Hd. spiculus*, *L. homopunctatus*, and species of *Cavusgnathus*



Rhachistognathus sp. B cf. *R. muricatus* Gnathodus girtyi girtyi



Lochreia commutata

Biozone 4 Subzones

- Biozone 4L absence of Gnathodus bilineatus
- Biozone 4M First occurrence of *G. bilineatus* morphotype 1 and occurrences of possible new species: *Lochriea* sp. A *and Lochriea* sp. B
- Biozone 4U First occurrence of *G. bilineatus* morphotype 2, *Vogelgnathus campbelli*, and significant decrease in *L. homopunctatus*



G. Bilineatus morphotype 2

Provincial Biozones

- 4 biozones and 3 subzones
- Based on assemblages and FOs and LOs
- User-friendly provincial biozones for local and regional correlations
- Compares favorably with previous zonations, but nominal species are not as important



*Biozone 1 = Upper *texanus-G*. n. sp. 15 aff. *punctatus* Zone of Boardman et al. (2013)

Simplified Regional Stratigraphic Relationships





Biozone 2 (Moccasin Bend)

Chert (no processing) suspect Biozone 1 (Ritchey)

Barren (Short Creek)

Middle *texanuspseudosemiglaber* Zone



Inter-Regional Correlations

- Correlation of Mayes Group with post-unconformity strata
- Common absence of upper Boone Group strata, as well as lower Boone Group



What About Facies Controls?

- Conodonts are susceptible to facies controls, and there are examples in this study
- Moccasin Bend-Quapaw Shallowing-Upward Succession
 - More offshore taxa occur in Moccasin Bend, whereas only nearshore taxa are typically represented in Quapaw
 - BUT, zone-defining taxa are present in both
- Ritchey Shallowing-Upward Succession
 - "upper" and "lower" Ritchey are depositionally different, but share important taxa
- Ritchey-Tahlequah Offshore Transition?
 - Greater abundance and diversity in the Tahlequah, including L. homopunctatus, but share zone-defining taxa
- Mayes Group cyclicity in the Hindsville Fm; less clear in the Pryor Creek Fm.

Discussion/Summary:

- These are some of the closest outcropping rocks to the subsurface
- Evidence in the subsurface for less Kinderhookian and Osagean, more Meramecian and Chesterian
- Subsurface age-identification may be informally defined based on the recovery of a few taxa, which may influence drilling and placement of horizontal wellbores
- Where do we go from here:
 - Continued work with operators
 - Core & drill-cuttings(?)
 - Continued field work (need minions or someone with minions)
 - Reworking the Sycamore, Caney (and Welden), Stanley Group in Oklahoma and Moorefield Fm. in Arkansas

Extra Stuff



