SOUTHERN DELMARVA BARRIER ISLAND BEACHES: LINKING OFFSHORE AND ONSHORE UNITS USING RACEMIZATION GEOCHRONOLOGY TO INFER SEDIMENT SOURCES DURING SHORELINE MIGRATION

WEHMILLER (Delaware), BROTHERS (USGS), FOSTER (USGS), & RAMSEY (Delaware) With significant input from Rob Thieler (USGS) and Linda York (Delaware and NPS) And support from BOEM and USGS















Different sources for Pleistocene and Holocene shells

Mercenaria Habitat

Found buried in muddy sediment on the lower shore and shallow sublittoral and in bays and estuaries. Prefers sandy environments to depths of 15 m.

Spisula Habitat

Adults tend to burrow in medium to coarse sand or gravel substrata but are also found in silty to fine sand. This species does not tend to burrow in mud. Found at depths ranging from 8 to 66 m.

WHERE WERE THE PLEISTOCENE SHELLS PRIOR TO TRANSPORT TO BEACH SITES? WERE THEY TRANSPORTED WHILE ARTICULATED?











What is the true age of the Pleistocene DelMarVa beach shells? Problem statement or hypothesis: are MIS 3 units preserved at emergent or submerged sites in the mid-Atlantic?

- 30-40 ka: doubtful based on associated Astarte 14C data; 60 ka possible
- 75-80 ka: best estimate based on onshore data for both subsurface and emergent sites, and associated U-series data for onshore sites
- Implications of results from more southerly offshore Pleistocene units







		nge of the De n shells: 14C	
Genus 14C (ka)	Parramore	Wreck	Smith
Spisula	>44.6	27.6 & 38.0	29.5, 29.6, 31.4, 35.0
Mercenaria	nd	nd	1.87, 4.40
obvious relat comparable I found offshore	ion between 14C and D/L's are associated re, calibrated with 2	nd AAR D/L. Offshore with >50 ka 14C resul	ole amino acids, hence no (Maryland) samples with ts. Holocene Spisula are ues far below those seen in es.



What is the true age of the DelMarVa Pleistocene beach shells?

- 14C issues low level modern carbon contamination
- Could they be MIS 3 ~ 40-60 ka? "Best" offshore 14C control says >50 ka. AAR resolution of 60 and 80 ka is difficult.
- Inferred ages from offshore stratigraphic record of paleochannels
- Comparisons with onshore AAR results
- The proportional time approach theoretical model for age estimation







What is the true age of the DelMarVa Pleistocene beach shells?

- 14C issues low level modern carbon contamination
- Could they be MIS 3 $^{\sim}$ 40-60 ka? "Best" offshore 14C control says >50 ka. AAR resolution of 60 and 80 ka is difficult.
- Inferred ages from offshore stratigraphic record of paleochannels
- Comparisons with onshore AAR results
- The proportional time approach theoretical model for age estimation









Pleistocene fossils in the mid-Atlantic nearshore

- Whitmore, June 1967 Science
- Elephant teeth from the Atlantic shelf, INCLUDING back-barrier Parramore Island



- RADIOCARBON DATING OF LATE PLEISTOCENE MARINE SHELLS FROM THE SOUTHERN NORTH SEA
 F S Busschers et al., Radiocarbon 2014
 This article presents a set of Late Pleistocene marine mollusk radiocarbon (AMS) age estimates of 30-50 14C kyr BP, whereas a MISS age (>75 ka) is indicated by quartz and feldspar OSL dating, liboratingarphy. U-Th dating, and age-dept relationships with
 - If 3 duscrites set al., realization 2014 This article presents a set of Lab Peterscome marine maluek radiocarbon (AMS) age and fetSgar 0.5 and 3.0 mB Peterscome marine maluek radiocarbon (AMS) age and fetSgar 0.5 and 3.0 mB Peterscome a MISS age (275 kg) is indicated by quarts and fetSgar 0.5 and 3.0 mB Peterscome and 3.0 mB Peterscome and 3.0 mB Peterscome following shell death. The enigmatic 14C dates contaminated by younger carbon following shell death. The enigmatic 14C dates cannot be 'solved' by removing part of the shell by tepwise dissolution. SEM analysis of the Late Pietscome shells within a context of geologically younger (recentimotien, Hotocrene) and doter (Pieccene) secondary carbonats precipitate. The presence of this precipitate is not visible using XRD since it is of the same (aragonitic) polymorph as the original shell carbonate. The presence of fatty acids leads to the conclusion that the secondary carbonate, and hence the addition of younger carbon, has a bacterial origin. As shell material was stateling like bone collagen as well.



