# Exploration for overprinting deposit styles in the Basin and Range;

Insights from a detailed study of W-Mo-Cu Mineralization in Gold Hill, Utah

By Nathan J. Carey – Arizona Geological Survey

Simon M. Jowitt – University of Nevada, Reno

Stephanie E. Mills – Utah Geological Survey

#### **Basin and Range**



#### Geology of Gold Hill, Utah

- Jurassic quartz monzonite intruded Paleozoic sedimentary units.
  - Existing zircon U-Pb ages of the quartz monzonite:
    - 155.4 ± 1.8 Ma (Burwell, 2018)
    - 156.1 ± 1.8 Ma (Burwell, 2018)
- W-Mo-Cu deposits studied:
  - Lucy L. (LL)
  - Rustler (RU)
  - Doctor (DR)
  - Yellow Hammer (YH)
  - Reaper (RE)



#### Main Research Objectives

1. What are the **key mineralization associations** and **mineral paragenesis**?

2. What is the **age** of **W-Mo-Cu mineralization** spatially associated with the previously dated Jurassic intrusion?

3. What are the **deposit types** present and can their evolution be characterized?



#### Hand Sample Analyses

- Optical microscopy (transmitted and reflected light)
- Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM-EDS)
- Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL)
- Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)
- Re-Os molybdenite geochronology (ICP-MS)



Transmitted Light



• Petrography

# Optical microscopy (transmitted and reflected light)

- Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM-EDS)
- Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL)
- Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)
- Re-Os molybdenite geochronology (ICP-MS)



Backscattered Electron Images

- Petrography
- Optical microscopy (transmitted and reflected light)
- Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM-EDS)
- Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL)
- Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)
- Re-Os molybdenite geochronology (ICP-MS)



- Petrography
- Optical microscopy (transmitted and reflected light)
- Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM-EDS)
- Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL)
- Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)
- Re-Os molybdenite geochronology (ICP-MS)



UNLV Electron Microanalysis & Imaging Laboratory

- Petrography
- Optical microscopy (transmitted and reflected light)
- Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM-EDS)
- Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL)
- Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)
- Re-Os molybdenite geochronology (ICP-MS)





- Petrography
- Optical microscopy (transmitted and reflected light)
- Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM-EDS)
- Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL)
- Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)
- Re-Os molybdenite geochronology (ICP-MS)

## 1. W-MO-CU MINERALIZATION ASSOCIATIONS AND MINERAL PARAGENSIS

### Tungsten (W) Mineralization and Associations

- Tungsten Ore: Scheelite (Ca(WO<sub>4</sub>))
- Scheelite is commonly closely associated with:
  - Quartz and tourmaline with minor apatite, feldspars, and calcite.
    - And occasionally:
      - Actinolite-magnetite assemblages.
      - Later veins of quartz, anhydrite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite.

#### Normal Light

#### Shortwave UV Light



### Tungsten (W) Mineralization and Associations

- Tungsten Ore: Scheelite (Ca(WO<sub>4</sub>))
- Scheelite is commonly closely associated with:
  - Quartz and tourmaline with minor apatite, feldspars, and calcite.
    - And occasionally:
      - Actinolite-magnetite assemblages.
      - Later veins of quartz, anhydrite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite.



### Tungsten (W) Mineralization and Associations

- Tungsten Ore: Scheelite (Ca(WO<sub>4</sub>))
- Scheelite is commonly closely associated with:
  - Quartz and tourmaline with minor apatite, feldspars, and calcite.
    - And occasionally:
      - Actinolite-magnetite assemblages.
      - Later veins of quartz, anhydrite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite.



### Molybdenum (Mo) Mineralization and Associations

- Molybdenum Ore: Molybdenite (MoS<sub>2</sub>)
- Molybdenite is typically closely associated with:
  - Actinolite
    - And occasionally:
      - Chalcopyrite, bornite, and pyrite assemblages.



Hand sample images (A-D), plane polarized reflected light thin section photomicrographs (E-F), and BSE image (G) of sample YH044a. Abbreviations are as follows: Act = actinolite, Bn = bornite, Ccp = chalcopyrite, Mol = molybdenite, Py = pyrite, and Qz = quartz.

### Copper (Cu) Mineralization and Associations

- Main Copper Ore: Chalcopyrite (CuFeS<sub>2</sub>)
- Chalcopyrite is commonly associated with:
  - Calcite, tourmaline, garnet, quartz, magnetite, pyrite, or arsenopyrite.



Standard thin section billet images of YH024 and YH042 (27 x 46 mm; A-B), BSE images from YH009 (C-D), and plane polarized reflected light photomicrographs of YH042. Abbreviations are as follows: Anh = anhydrite, Apy = arsenopyrite Asd = arseniosiderite, Cal = calcite, Ccp = chalcopyrite, Cv = covellite, Hem = hematite, Mag = magnetite, Py = pyrite, Qz = quartz, Sp = sphalerite, Tur = tourmaline, and Urn = uraninite.

### Copper (Cu) Mineralization and Associations

• Other Copper Ores: malachite, azurite, chrysocolla, chalcocite, covellite, and bornite.

• Malachite is the most common of these, typically observed overprinting other minerals or filling in empty space.



Hand sample images (A-C) and BSE image (D) from various Yellow Hammer samples. Abbreviations are as follows: Act = actinolite, Ap = Apatite, Chl = chlorite, and Mlc = malachite. Hand lens for scale (A-C).

#### 2. AGE OF W-MO-CU MINERALIZATION

#### Age Constraints

- Relative mineralization ages:
  - 1. Scheelite (oldest)
  - 2. Chalcopyrite and Molybdenite
  - 3. Malachite and other copper ore minerals (youngest)
- Re-Os molybdenite ages:
  - Five of six are consistent with the existing zircon U-Pb ages for the proximal pluton from Burwell (2018).
  - **Reaper Deposit:** One of the two molybdenite ages is about 10 Ma older...More on this later.

Sample	Weight (g)	Re (ppm)	Common Os (ppb)	187 Re (ppm)	187 Os (ppb)	Age (Ma)
YH051	0.10	42.43 ± 0.33	0.01 ± 0.0005	26.67 ± 0.21	69.74 ± 0.41	156.8 ± 2.2
YH053	0.10	92.24 ± 0.80	0.02 ± 0.0007	57.98 ± 0.50	149.30 ± 0.90	154.4 ± 2.2
YH054	0.02	37.55 ± 0.27	$0.33 \pm 0.01$	23.60 ± 0.17	61.55 ± 0.36	156.3 ± 2.1
YH055	0.02	54.94 ± 0.57	$0.58 \pm 0.01$	34.53 ± 0.36	89.05 ± 0.61	154.6 ± 2.5
RE002	0.10	$17.10 \pm 0.14$	0.009 ± 0.0002	10.75 ± 0.09	27.91 ± 0.20	155.7 ± 2.3
RE003	0.05	3.62 ± 0.03	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	2.27 ± 0.02	6.28 ± 0.04	165.6 ± 2.4



## 3. DEPOSIT TYPES

#### Deposit Types

#### **Non-Reaper Deposits:**

- 1. W-Mo-Cu Skarn (~156 Ma)
  - Endoskarn and exoskarn
- 2. Late Supergene Cu and Oxidation

#### **Reaper Deposit:**

• The Reaper site contains W-Mo-Cu mineralization, but unique textures in hand sample and thin section suggest its genesis varies from the other deposits...

• Distinct older Re-Os age?



#### Reaper Deposit

- Unique Textures:
  - Coarse pegmatitic minerals:
    - K-feldspars
    - Actinolite
    - Scheelite
  - Epithermal-like quartz textures:
    - Crustiform-colloform banding
    - Hydrothermal breccia (quartz, tourmaline, and iron oxide).





Normal Light

Shortwave UV Light

### Reaper Deposit

#### • Unique Textures:

- Coarse pegmatitic minerals:
  - K-feldspars
  - Actinolite
  - Scheelite
- **Epithermal**-like quartz textures:
  - Crustiform-colloform banding
  - Hydrothermal breccia (quartz, tourmaline, and iron oxide).



### Revisiting the Outlying Molybdenite Age

Reaper Sample Age: 165.6 ± 2.4 Ma

- Best Explanation:
  - The low Re concentration of sample RE003 reflects Re loss during interaction with later epithermal-type fluids, yielding an older age.

NOTE: Crustiform-colloform banding is indicative of boiling fluids (John et al., 2018) and Re loss in molybdenite can occur by interaction with fluids as low as 150° C (McCandless, 1993).

Suggests a epithermal overprint after W-Mo-Cu Skarn mineralization.

Sample	Re (ppm)	Age (Ma)
YH051	42.43 ± 0.33	156.8 ± 2.2
YH053	92.24 ± 0.80	154.4 ± 2.2
YH054	37.55 ± 0.27	156.3 ± 2.1
YH055	54.94 ± 0.57	154.6 ± 2.5
RE002	$17.10 \pm 0.14$	155.7 ± 2.3
RE003	3.62 ± 0.03	<b>165.6 ± 2.4</b>

#### Sample Description △ Re-Os Molybdenite YH051 Large, platy molybdenite near bornite and chalcopyrite ♦ U-Pb Zircon YH053 Large chunk of molybdenite Replacement molybdenite (replacing YH054 This long, bladed actinolite) Study YH055 Large chunk of molybdenite Large chunk of molybdenite **RE002** overprinting actinolite Molybdenite filling in a long void in an **RE003** actinolite cluster 84 Main Quartz Monzonite (zircon) Previous Studies 76 Pyroxene-Rich Quartz Monzanite (zircon) 152 154 156 158 160 162 164 166 168 Ages (Ma)

Okay...now what about those coarse pegmatitic minerals?

### Scheelite Cathodoluminescence Imaging

#### **Non-Reaper Deposits:**

- Two phases of oscillatory zoned scheelite:
  - Zoning indicative of fluctuating fluid compositions, common in skarn environments (Poulin et al., 2016).





#### Doctor Scheelite

- (1) Dark oscillatory zoned core and
- (2) Bright oscillatory zone rim

Rustler Scheelite

### Scheelite Cathodoluminescence Imaging

#### **Reaper Deposit:**

• A homogeneous core indicates that the fluid composition was not fluctuating during growth (Poulin et al., 2016).



(1) Homogenous core => a **pegmatitic pipe?** 

(2) Oscillatory zoned rim => skarn formation

### Deposit Types

#### **Non-Reaper Deposits:**

- 1. W-Mo-Cu Skarn (~156 Ma)
  - Endoskarn and exoskarn
- 2. Late Supergene Cu and Oxidation

#### **Reaper Deposit:**

- 1. Formed initially as a W-rich pegmatitic pipe...
- 2. That was overprinted by W-Mo-Cu skarn mineralization...
- 3. And later overprinted by epithermaltype mineralization and supergene Cu remobilization.



Mine/Sampling Sites

TI Latite and Trachyte









### Implications for Regional Exploration

- The Basin and Range Province has a complex tectonomagmatic history.
  - Result: mining districts with overprinting deposit types of various ages and commodity types (e.g., Gold Hill).

Here, we demonstrate that
OBSERVATIONS from one deposit
can be used to develop NEW
TARGETS for other deposit types
within a larger mineral system.

Imagine you were exploring for skarn targets and drilled through a deposit like *Reaper...* How could you use that drill-core to develop new targets within the larger mineral system, rather than just moving to the next skarn target?



### Implications for Regional Exploration

- The Basin and Range Province has a complex tectonomagmatic history.
  - Result: mining districts with overprinting deposit types of various ages and commodity types (e.g., Gold Hill).

 Here, we demonstrate that
OBSERVATIONS from one deposit can be used to develop NEW
TARGETS for other deposit types within a larger mineral system. Imagine you were exploring for skarn targets and drilled through a deposit like *Reaper...* How could you use that drill-core to develop new targets within the larger mineral system, rather than just moving to the next skarn target?

**OBSERVATIONS:** Unique rock/quartz textures => Re loss in molybdenite.

Sample	Re (ppm)	Age (Ma)
YH051	42.43 ± 0.33	156.8 ± 2.2
YH053	92.24 ± 0.80	154.4 ± 2.2
YH054	37.55 ± 0.27	156.3 ± 2.1
YH055	54.94 ± 0.57	154.6 ± 2.5
RE002	$17.10 \pm 0.14$	155.7 ± 2.3
RE003	3.62 ± 0.03	165.6 ± 2.4





**NEW TARGET:** nearby younger epithermal gold deposits.

 Kiewit low-sulfidation epithermal gold mine is just one mile east of Reaper. Would you have discovered it? Acknowledgements:

- Dr. Simon Jowitt (UNR)
- Dr. Stephanie Mills (UGS)
- Dr. Kevin Konrad and Dr. Aude Picard (UNLV)
- Dr. Minghua Ren (EMiL) and Dr. Kunfeng Qiu (CAGS)
- The UNLV Economic Geology Research Group
- Zoey Plonka

# Thank you for listening!

Thank you to those who provided funding and support to present this research:







Department of GEOSCIENCE





Image – Simon Jowitt